
In the Matter of Claims for Award by:

Redacted (“Claimant 1”),

Redacted (“Claimant 2”),

Redacted (“Claimant 3”),

Redacted (“Claimant 4”),

and

In Connection with
Notice of Covered Action No. Redacted

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW STAFF

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“Commission”) received whistleblower award applications from Claimant 1, Claimant 2, Claimant 3, and Claimant 4 (the “Claimants”) on the above-listed Forms WB-APP in response to the above-referenced Notice of Covered Action regarding


Redacted (“Transaction Type”)

Redacted (“Transaction”). The Claims Review Staff (“CRS”) has evaluated the
applications in accordance with the Commission’s Whistleblower Rules (“Rules”), 17 C.F.R. pt. 165 (2021), promulgated pursuant to Section 23 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 26. The CRS sets forth its Preliminary Determination for the Claimants as follows:

1. The CRS has determined to recommend that the Commission deny the Claimants’ applications on the Covered Action because each application fails to meet the requirements of Section 23 of the Act and the Rules. Specifically, each of the Claimants’ information did not lead to the successful enforcement of the Covered Action.

2. To begin with, the Order’s description of violations of the Act provides the Claimants no basis for believing that any of their information would have contributed to the Covered Action. Claimant 1, Claimant 2, and Claimant 4 did not give information relating to the Transaction Type. The TCR submissions of Claimant 1 and Claimant 4, in particular, do not even mention

Meanwhile, Claimant 3 offered no factual allegations about Respondent, only a bare assertion that Respondent and eighteen other entities should be investigated, which he/she made after Division of Enforcement (“Division”) staff had begun investigating Respondent regarding the specific Transaction.

3. Division staff assigned to the investigation underlying the Covered Action confirmed that the Claimants’ information did not lead to the successful enforcement of the Covered Action.

- None of the Claimants provided any information to the Division that led to the opening of the investigation that would later result in the Covered Action. Instead, the Division opened the investigation that led to the Order based on
Transaction. Accordingly, the Commission did not commence its investigation as a direct or indirect result of any of the Claimants’ information. See 17 C.F.R. § 165.2(i)(1).

• According to Division staff, the Claimants did not provide any information of use to the Division staff in connection with the Covered Action.\(^1\) Accordingly, the Claimants did not contribute at all to the Commission’s investigation related to Respondent, which means that none of the Claimants’ information significantly contributed to the Covered Action. See 17 C.F.R. § 165.2(i)(2).

4. The CRS also recommends denying Claimant 2’s, Claimant 3’s, and Claimant 4’s claims on purported related actions. A related action must be “based on the original information that the whistleblower voluntarily submitted to the Commission and led to a successful resolution of the Commission judicial or administrative action.” See 17 C.F.R. § 165.11(a)(2). Because Claimant 2, Claimant 3, and Claimant 4 did not provide information that led to the successful resolution of the Covered Action, these claimants are not eligible for related action awards.

Dated: December 21, 2021

Whistleblower Claims Review Staff
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
1155 21\(^{st}\) Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20581

\(^1\) Division staff working on the investigation that led to the Covered Action had not heard of Redacted until informed of their award claims in connection with the Covered Action.